Ronald Paquette

DECISION: Variance granted to allow the subdivision of Lots 61, 62, 91 and 92, Assessor's Plan 23A without meeting frontage and area requirements upon the express condition that an additional well be dug so that each duplex will be supplied by its own individual well.

Jason Drive (subdivision off of) **Robert Carr/Westport Woods** 12/06/06 DECISION: Variance was denied due to the fact that no hardship was exhibited and the standards for a variance were not met.

Jiggs Street Daniel Costa DECISION: Variance denied on the basis that it would be creating a small substandard lot.

41 Jiggs Street

04/05/17 **DECISION:** Petition for Administrative Appeal was allowed to be withdrawn without prejudice.

John Reed Road **Harold Davis** 01/28/86 **DECISION**: Variance granted to allow the location of a self-contained trailer for summer use only on Lot 77, Assessor's Plan 76.

John Reed Road John W. McBride & Alden L. Hart 06/07/82 **DECISION**: Variance granted to allow the location of a self-contained trailer for summer use only on Lot 97, Assessor's Plan 76.

John Reed Road **Manuel Morro** 06/05/79 DECISION: Variance denied to allow a trailer to be located on Lot 81, Assessor's Plan 76A on the basis that the variance is not required provided a covenant is filed certifying that all the lots are combined into one buildable lot and one trailer is placed on said lot.

John Reed Road **Richard Ricardi** 07/21/77 **DECISION**: Variance denied to allow the location of a trailer on Lot 102, Assessor's Plan 76 on the basis that the petitioner failed to demonstrate that a substantial hardship exists.

100 John Reed Road

DECISION: Variance granted to allow a six-month trailer permit on Lot 100 John Reed Road as shown on Assessor's Map 76 (near State Beach Campground).

28 Johnson Street Richard Hamel DECISION: The Board voted unanimously to allow the petitioner to withdraw his application without prejudice for a finding that the proposed 12'4" X 10'7" addition to the existing grand-fathered trailer would not be substantially more detrimental than the prior non-conforming use. When the applicant discovered the structure would not meet set-back requirements and he would need a variance, he requested the withdrawal.

36 J Drive

Shawn & Brenda Borges

George & Susanne Hill

04/27/00

07/16/92

09/22/77

10/11/72